

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/901,636	07/11/2001	Thomas Malzahn		7902	
759	90 04/22/2003				
Felix J. D'Ambrosio		EXAMINER			
Eads Station	AR & COOPER, P.C.		JACKSON, ANDRE K		
P.O. Box 2266			ART UNIT	DARED MA (DED	
Arlington, VA	22202		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2856		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/901,636	MALZAHN, THOMAS					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
•'	Andre' K. Jackson	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss				
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to	o a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. Se	ee MPEP				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of ti (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cl	f extension and the corresponding amou he shortened statutory period for reply o e later than three months after the maili	unt of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Off	riate extension				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) [] they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);						
(c) ightharpoonup the decision to the property that they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🗵 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed am	nendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were n	ewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sexplanation of how the new or amended claims work	s) a) $igtize$ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and wor appended.	an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	ı) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							
S. Patent and Trademark Office							



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Examiner agrees with Applicant the reference does show that the cut outs are the same size. However, the claim requires that the slots have at least one of different dimensions and shapes. The reference does show different dimensions for slots (40) and (45) which can be seen in Figure 5.

DANIELS, LARKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER